

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 OECD P 16744 271656Z

42

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00 TRSE-00 EUR-12

ERDA-05 ISO-00 MC-02 SSO-00 /027 W

----- 087373

O 271647Z JUN 75

FM USMISSION OECD PARIS

TO SECSTATE WASH DC IMMEDIATE 7735

C O N F I D E N T I A L OECD PARIS 16744

EXCON

E.O. 11652 XGDS1

TAGS: ESTC, COCOM

SUBJECT: REVIEW IL 1565 COMPUTERS

REFS. A. COCOM DOC REV (71) 1565/23

B. FINKLER MEMO JUNE 17, 1975 TO WRIGHT STATE

C. COCOM DOC REV (71) 1564/14

1. PRESENT SCHEDULE CALLS FOR DISCUSSION OF NOTES 8 AND 9 STARTING TUESDAY FOLLOWED BY SUBITEM (C)(4) AND NOTE 10 FOLLOWED BY OTHER PORTIONS OF IL 1565. HAVE NOT RECEIVED POSITIONS ON VARIOUS SUBITEMS OR SUB-PARAGRAPHS STILL OPEN OR HAVING AGENCY RESERVES AFTER MEETINGS OF JUNE 18 AND 19. REQUIRE POSITIONS FIRST THING TUESDAY MORNING. FINKLER WILL PREPARE SUBITEMS REQUIRING REDRAFTING OVER WEEKEND AND TRANSMIT FIRST THING MONDAY MORNING. RECORD OF DISCUSSION ON LAST MEETING AVAILABLE YESTERDAY (REF A).

2. ON NOTE (5)(F), RECORD OF DISCUSSION SHOWS US APPROVAL OF THIS SUB-PARAGRAPH. ERDA HAD REQUESTED THAT INDICATED AD REF (REF B, ITEM 45) NOT BE CONFIRMED. IN LIGHT OF RECORDED ACCEPTANCE SHOULD DEL BACK OFF TO AD REF POSITION AND PROPOSE NO CHANGE IN SUB-PARAGRAPH AS PER DISCUSSION OF JUNE 18? THIS WOULD BE ANOTHER SITUATION IN WHICH US HAS REVERSED AN ORIGINAL ACCEPTANCE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 OECD P 16744 271656Z

DEL HAD THOUGHT THAT HE HAD GIVEN AD REF POSITION SO IT

MAY BE ERROR IN RECORD.

3. ON FIRST PARAGRAPH OF SOU ON NOTE 5 (REF B ITEM 61A), IS THERE ANY RESOLUTION OF DIFFERENCES BETWEEN AGENCIES? HOW SHOULD DEL DEAL WITH THIS?

4. ON NOTE 8 (E)(5) (REF B, ITEM 75), HAS ERDA LIFTED RESERVE OR HOW SHOULD DEL DEAL WITH THIS ISSUE? SAME PROBLEM WITH NOTE 9 (F)4) (REF B, ITEM 89), HOW SHOULD DEL HANDLE?

5. ON NOTE 9 (G)(3) (REF B, ITEM 91), REF C, PARA 149 SEEMS TO CLEARLY STATE THAT U.S. FEELS THAT "... (IL 1564) NOTE 6 DID NOT THEREFORE EMBODY ANY COVERAGE OF PRINTED CIRCUIT BOARD TECHNOLOGY." THEREFORE IT WOULD SEEM THAT NOTE 9(G)(3) SHOULD BE DELETED. POSITION REQUIRED.

6. POSITIONS REQUIRED FROM DOD ON NOTE 10(A), (B)(I) AND DEFINITIONS (REF B, ITEMS 96,97, AND 103).

7. ON NOTE 10(E) (REF B, ITEM 102), SINCE US POSITION IN THIRD ROUND ON IL 1519 WAS NOT REPEAT NOT TO CONFIRM AD REF ON DELETION OF 30-DAY PRIOR NOTIFICATION PROVISION OF PRESENT IL 1519, NOTE 4, SHOULD US MAINTAIN POSITION OF CONSISTENCY BETWEEN NOTE 10(E) AND IL 1519 OR JUST REQUIRE NOTIFICATION AT TIME OF LICENSING? SINCE THIS IS A NEW NOTE, IT MAY BE DIFFICULT TO GAIN ACCEPTANCE OF PROVISION THAT OTHER DELS OBJECT TO IN IL 1519.
TURNER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: STRATEGIC TRADE CONTROLS, IL 1565, COMPUTERS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 27 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GarlanWA
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975OECDP16744
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: X1
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750223-0737
From: OECD PARIS
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t1975069/aaaaahyv.tel
Line Count: 91
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION EB
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 COCOM DOC REV (71565/23
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GarlanWA
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 20 MAY 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAY 2003 by GarlanWA>; APPROVED <30 MAY 2003 by GarlanWA>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: REVIEW IL 1565 COMPUTERS
TAGS: ESTC, COCOM
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006